



## **MEETING AGENDA**

Committee on Equal Opportunities

Monday, April 30, 2018

Curris Center, Small Ballroom, Murray State University

The Council on Postsecondary Education is Kentucky's statewide postsecondary and adult education coordinating agency charged with leading the reform efforts envisioned by state policy leaders in the *Kentucky Postsecondary Education Improvement Act of 1997*. The Council does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, or disability in employment or the provision of services, and provides, upon request, reasonable accommodation, including auxiliary aids and services necessary to afford individuals with disabilities an equal opportunity to participate in all programs and activities.

Kentucky Council on Postsecondary Education, 1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 320, Frankfort KY 40601, Ph: (502) 573-1555, Fax: (502) 573-1535, <a href="http://cpe.ky.gov">http://cpe.ky.gov</a>

Twitter: <a href="https://twitter.com/cpenews">https://twitter.com/cpenews</a> Facebook: <a href="https://www.facebook.com/KYCPE">https://www.facebook.com/KYCPE</a>

Printed with state funds

#### **AGENDA**

### Committee on Equal Opportunities

### Council on Postsecondary Education Monday, April 30, 2018 1:00 PM

Curris Center, Small Ballroom, Murray State University

1.	Roll Call	
2.	Institutional Welcome: Dr. Robert O. Davies, President	
3.	Diversity Initiatives Update: Ms. Cami Duffy, Executive Director and Title IX Coordinator, Institutional Diversity, Equity and Access	
	a. President's Commission on Diversity and Inclusion: Dr. Barbara Washington, Dr. David Eaton	
	<ul> <li>b. Diversity Faculty Fellowship Initiative: Dr. Crystal Coel, Dr. Ruth Daniel, Dr. Sonya Noruwa</li> </ul>	
	c. Recruitment Success	
	1) Enrollment Update: Mr. Fred Dietz	
	<ol><li>Whitney Young Scholars and Rising Stars: Ms. Roslyn White, Mr. Kendrick Quisenberry</li></ol>	
	d. Student Success	
	<ol> <li>President's Commission on Student Success: Dr. Don Robertson, Dr. Mark Arant</li> </ol>	
	2) Emerging Scholars and MAAP Programs Students: Dr. S. G. Carthell	
	3) Recapture Campaign: Ms. Peggy Whaley	
	4) Racer Connection Video	
4.	Approval of Minutes, January 22, 2018	3
5.	Report from the February Council Meeting	6
6.	Review/Discussion of Annual Reporting Tool	8
7.	Updated Diversity Planning Timeline	21
8.	Equity, Equality, and Leveraging Campus Partnerships: Annazette McCain, Executive Director of Equity and Compliance, Office of the President, Pellissippi State Community College	
9.	Other Business	
10.	Adjourn Next Meeting, July 22-23, Western Kentucky University	

# Minutes Council on Postsecondary Education Committee on Equal Opportunities January 22, 2018

The Committee on Equal Opportunities met January 22, 2018 in the new Case Dining Hall on the campus of Eastern Kentucky University in Richmond, Kentucky. Chair Shawn Reynolds presided over the meeting.

WELCOME:

President Michael Benson greeted the group and welcomed them to the new facility. He shared his enthusiasm for the Scholar House Program, a partnership designed to assist qualifying students by removing some of the barriers to affordable housing and childcare costs which often impede access to increased education, employment and ultimately independence. Lunch for CEO members and institutional representatives was also provided by EKU.

**ROLL CALL:** 

Council staff called the roll.

Members present: Robert Croft, Dr. Brian Dunican, Ann Morgan, Ling-Yuh Pattie, Shawn Reynolds, Silas Session, David Carpenter, Dr. Robert Staat.

Members absent: Corey Bellamy, Sebastian Torres, Keitha Henderson, Vidya Ravichandran, and John Johnson.

Council staff and institutional representatives present: Dr. Aaron Thompson, Dr. Caroline Atkins, Travis Powell, Paula Hansford, Dr. Kathleen Roberts, Dr. Mordean Taylor Archer, Charles Holloway, Dr. Sonja Feist-Price and Dr. Timothy Forde.

CAMPUS SPOTLIGHT:

Students, faculty, and staff shared information on a variety of efforts by EKU to increase student success for low income and underrepresented minority students. These included, but were not limited to: Diversity Scholars, Pay It Forward Mini-grants, Faculty Mini-grants, faculty development through Developing Excellence in Eastern's Professors, the Freshman Academy, Upperclass Academy, Lifeskills Coach, and the Academic Success Center.

APPROVAL OF The minutes from the April 10, July 20-21, and October 16, 2017

MINUTES:

meetings were reviewed and approved. Motion made by Brian Dunican with second by Robert Croft.

REPORT FROM COUNCIL **MEETING:** 

Caroline Atkins provided a summery from the November Council meeting held on the campus of Elizabethtown Community and Technical College. There was discussion of the request to table the approval of the campus diversity plans in order to renegotiate some individual campus targets to ensure that all campuses were making strides to reduce achievement gaps and increase workforce diversity. Senior leadership at the Council renegotiated targets with four universities and eight community colleges.

#### **FUTURE MEETINGS**:

The 2018 proposed meeting schedule was approved by the group. The CEO will rotate the meetings to the various campuses to learn more about their strategies for underrepresented minority and lowincome student success. Lodging information for the April meeting will be forwarded to the group in March. Brian Dunican made a motion to accept the meeting dates and locations. Robert Staat seconded.

- Murray State University, April 30, 2018
- Western Kentucky University, July 22-23, 2018
- Bluegrass Community and Technical College—Leestown Campus, October 22, 2018

## TIMELINE:

DIVERSITY PLAN Renegotiated diversity plans and 2020-21 targets will be presented at the February 2<sup>nd</sup> Council meeting. Caroline Atkins is in the process of drafting an online reporting tool for use by the institutional representatives. That tool will be sent to the CEO and campuses prior to the April meeting for suggestions and feedback. The campuses will not be required to provide a formal verbal presentation to the CEO. Instead, the CEO members will review the annual reports and then send questions to the CPE to share with the institutional representatives. Individual sessions will be scheduled for the campuses to have a conversation with the CEO to answer those questions, and share bright spots and challenges to plan implementation.

PROFESSIONAL Aaron Thompson provided a session and Q&A titled: Integrating DEVELOPMENT: Diversity at a Policy and Practice Level to Increase Student

Success. Several audience members requested future sessions on

promoting equity among campus partners and measuring

outcomes.

NEXT MEETING: The next regularly scheduled meeting will be hosted by Murray

State University on April 30, 2018. The meeting will start at 1:00

p.m.

Robert Staat made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Brian Dunican

seconded.

## DIVERSITY PLAN PERFORMANCE METRICS INSTITUTIONAL SUMMARY FOUR YEAR CAMPUSES

	Metrics	MoSu Baseline 2015	MoSU Target by 2020-2021	WKU Baseline 2015	WKU Target by 2020-2021	KSU Baseline 2015	KSU Target by 2020-2021	MuSu Baseline 2015	MuSu Target by 2020-2021
	<ol> <li>1.a. Fall UG enrollment of African American students as a percent of total fall UG enrollment.</li> </ol>	3.40%	3.80%	8.90%	10%	51.90%	45%	6.80%	6.43%
TINC	1.b. Fall UG enrollment of Hispanic students as a percent of total fall UG enrollment.	1.40%	1.60%	3.10%	4%	3.20%	5%	2.00%	2.06%
OPPORTUNITY	<ol> <li>c. Fall UG enrollment of URM students as a percent of total fall UG enrollment.</li> </ol>	6.90%	7.60%	14.90%	15.80%	58%	60%	11.20%	11.34%
OPF	<ol> <li>1.f. Fall graduate and professional enrollment of URM students as a percent of total fall graduate and professional enrollment.</li> </ol>	8.10%	8.60%	12.60%	13.10%	47.10%	50%	8.80%	8.94%
S	6.b. Six-year graduation rate of first-time, full-time Baccalaureate degree-seeking UG students (LI).	34.10%	38%	41%	45%	20.30%	30%	37.40%	42.00%
SUCCES	6.b. Six-year graduation rate of first-time, full-time Baccalaureate degree-seeking UG students (URM).	32.60%	37.50%	33.90%	40%	20.10%	30%	37.60%	42.00%
S	6.c. First-to second year retention (LI).	67.30%	73.50%	63.70%	70.10%	60.50%	70%	65.60%	70%
	6.c. First-to second-year retention (URM).	67.80%	73.90%	58.30%	67.40%	60.30%	70%	69.10%	75%
	9.b. Bachelor's degrees awarded (LI)	779	819	1,353	1,455	211	220	747	815
	9.b. Bachelor's degrees awarded (URM).	69	84	315	347	160	170	151	165
IMPACT	Workforce Diversity: URM tenured and tenure track faculty as a percentage of all tenured and tenured track faculty.	7.00%	7.50%	9.30%	10.30%	33.70%	45%	6.10%	6.10%
JI	Workforce Diversity: URM management occupations as a percentage of all management occupations staff.	6.90%	8.40%	14.80%	15.80%	69.40%	70%	10.10%	10.10%

	Metrics	EKU Baseline 2015	EKU Target by 2020-2021	NKU Baseline 2015	NKU Target by 2020-2021	UofL Baseline 2015	UofL Target by 2020-2021	UK Baseline 2015	UK Target by 2020-2021
	1.a. Fall UG enrollment of African American students as a percent of total fall UG enrollment.	5.74%	6.03%	6.60%	7.10%	11%	14%	7.50%	8.30%
OPPORTUNITY	1.b. Fall UG enrollment of Hispanic students as a percent of total fall UG enrollment.	2.40%	2.80%	2.90%	3.90%	4%	6%	4.20%	4.90%
ORT	<ol> <li>1.c. Fall UG enrollment of URM students as a percent of total fall UG enrollment.</li> </ol>	10.90%	12%	12.30%	14%	19.40%	23%	15.30%	17.70%
IdO	<ol> <li>1.f. Fall graduate and professional enrollment of URM students as a percent of total fall graduate and professional enrollment.</li> </ol>	9.90%	10.44%	10%	15%	13.80%	15.50%	8.50%	9.90%
S	6.b. Six-year graduation rate of first-time, full-time Baccalaureate degree-seeking UG students (LI).	36.30%	42%	30.30%	39.00%	45.10%	54.10%	51.50%	56%
SUCCESS	6.b. Six-year graduation rate of first-time, full-time Baccalaureate degree-seeking UG students (URM).	37%	43%	23%	39%	51.10%	58.50%	52.40%	58.50%
S	6.c. First-to second year retention (LI).	69.90%	72%	64.40%	71.00%	74.50%	77.50%	74.80%	80%
	6.c. First-to second-year retention (URM).	64.10%	67%	69.50%	75%	78%	80.50%	77.20%	80%
	9.b. Bachelor's degrees awarded (LI)	1,378	1,458	1,037	1,050	1,137	1,356	1,423	1,650
	9.b. Bachelor's degrees awarded (URM).	207	218	209	230	484	585	536	621
MPACT	Workforce Diversity: URM tenured and tenure track faculty as a percentage of all tenured and tenured track faculty.	8.30%	8.70%	9.70%	12%	10.70%	11.50%	6.40%	8.90%
	Workforce Diversity: URM management occupations as a percentage of all management occupations staff.	8.50%	8.90%	10.50%	12.50%	11.50%	12.50%	5.20%	9.30%

		ASHLAND	BIG SANDY	BLUEGRASS	ELIZABETHTOWN	GATEWAY	HAZARD	HENDERSON	HOPKINSVILLE	JEFFERSON	MADISONVILLE	MAYSVILLE	OWENSBORO	SOMERSET	SOUTHCENTRAL	SOUTHEAST	WESTKY
	African American	1.40%	0.50%	11.70%	6.70%	7.90%	1.00%	9.70%	22.50%	21.20%	5.00%	2.50%	2.80%	1.20%	7.30%	2.40%	6.50%
L	Afficali Affiericali	1.60%	1.30%	12.80%	7.40%	9.90%	1.70%	9.50%	23.70%	21.10%	5.80%	4.10%	3.70%	1.90%	9.30%	2.40%	8.40%
OPPORTUNITY	Hispanic -	0.90%	0.70%	4.80%	3.70%	2.60%	0.80%	3.00%	9.20%	6.30%	2.00%	1.50%	1.70%	1.50%	3.40%	0.60%	4.00%
Por	riispanie	1.60%	1.10%	5.30%	3.80%	3.70%	1.40%	3.80%	11.00%	7.90%	2.80%	2.80%	3.00%	2.50%	5.40%	1.20%	5.20%
OPI	URM	4.25%	1.90%	20.70%	14.50%	13.10%	2.60%	15.00%	36.40%	30.80%	8.90%	6.30%	7.20%	4.60%	13.90%	4.80%	13.90%
	ONW	4.80%	3.40%	23.10%	15.50%	14.40%	3.60%	16.00%	38.00%	31.70%	10.50%	8.20%	8.80%	7.30%	15.90%	5.90%	18.20%
	URM Retention	47.10%	50%	37.40%	39.10%	48.90%	54.30%	26.80%	36.90%	44.60%	51.80%	53.60%	45.10%	43.50%	51.40%	66.70%	46.30%
	onwine tention	52.10%	56.10%	44.10%	45.40%	53.90%	58.30%	30.80%	45.40%	52.80%	55.00%	75%	48.50%	56.40%	57.40%	70%	50.30%
	Low Income	48.50%	56.10%	41.90%	46.50%	51.40%	53.50%	40.40%	45.30%	46.40%	53.60%	58.40%	50.60%	48.50%	52.40%	61.60%	51.20%
	Retention	51.70%	60.70%	49.70%	51.70%	55.40%	58.50%	44.40%	51.70%	54.40%	57.00%	68.50%	51.70%	52.70%	57.30%	63.10%	55.20%
S	URM 3 Year	13.30%	10.00%	12.30%	24.80%	33.30%	13.30%	25.10%	20.50%	6.90%	32.90%	25.90%	19.20%	12.90%	16.30%	30.80%	25.40%
CES	Graduation Rate	17.50%	20.10%	18.60%	27.90%	36.30%	19	29.10%	23.50%	17.70%	37.00%	36.80%	36.00%	27.70%	21.30%	30.80%	29.40%
SUCCESS	Low Income	25.90%	17.40%	15.30%	27.30%	26.00%	26.20%	16.00%	25.20%	10.20%	35.70%	26.80%	24.60%	21.70%	24.70%	32.50%	38.20%
	Graduation Rate	28.00%	28.00%	28.00%	30.30%	30.00%	31.20%	20.00%	28.00%	20.20%	39.00%	35.60%	32.30%	28.00%	27.80%	34.20%	42.20%
	URM Credentials	43	47	462	326	223	32	61	339	908	97	86	71	91	9.7% of total	26	356
	Earned	75	51	573	364	244	34	64	428	975	100	88	75	103	204	43	351
	REVISED Low Income	1,223	1,242	1,809	1,602	984	1,031	356	836	2,032	724	1,351	974	2,065	69% of total	725	1,853
	Credentials Earned	1,298	1,445	2,051	1,703	1,043	1,092	375	959	2,162	778	1,394	1,089	2,382	1,607	827	1,934
	Admin/Mgr Diversity	7.70%	4.80%	26.10%	21.90%	14.30%	7.10%	8.30%	15.60%	23.50%	4.80%	5.30%	7.20%	4.80%	16%	14.10%	17.20%
\begin{array}{c}	7	12.50%	5.90%	26.10%	26.70%	21.00%	12.60%	18.20%	17.10%	26.70%	5.40%	7.00%	8.20%	10.00%	16%	17.40%	20.50%
IMPACT	Faculty Diversity	0.90%	1.50%	7.40%	5.80%	7.50%	5.70%	5.60%	15.00%	9.60%	3.30%	4.00%	4.20%	2.80%	6%	3.80%	4.70%
	,,	3.00%	1.90%	15%	12.10%	10%	6.60%	6.00%	17.10%	21.90%	7.70%	4.90%	9.50%	7.40%	6.80%	4.70%	11.30%

#### **The Annual Diversity Plan Report**

The Kentucky Council on Postsecondary Education approved the 2016-20 Kentucky Public Postsecondary Education Policy for Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion on September 23, 2016. The updated policy builds on the strong foundation cultivated over the past 30 years and further integrates the new degree program approval process, the statewide diversity policy, and the 2016-2021 Strategic Agenda for Postsecondary and Adult Education into one seamless framework upon which equal educational opportunity goals can be set; strategies to obtain these goals can be developed, adopted, and implemented; and institutional progress can be evaluated. The policy includes three focus areas synonymous with the focus areas set forth in the Strategic Agenda: Opportunity, Success, and Impact.

## "Opportunity"

Recruitment and Enrollment of Diverse Students

Maintaining a diverse student body is an essential contribution to the educational experience of Kentucky's postsecondary students. Public institutions of postsecondary education in Kentucky have a responsibility to ensure citizens have the opportunity to receive a rich and fulfilling educational experience which cannot be fully obtained without exposure to the different perspectives and cultures of those around them.

## "Success"

Graduation Rates, Retention Rates, Degrees Conferred, Credentials Earned While maintaining a diverse student body is an essential educational experience of Kentucky's postsecondary students, institutions must commit to helping those students be successful when they arrive on campus. Unfortunately, some student populations have historically exhibited lower rates of student success than the overall student population.

## "Impact"

Campus Climate, Inclusiveness, and Cultural Competency To fully realize the positive impacts of diversity, Kentucky's public institutions must be communities that provide an inclusive and supportive environment for its diverse group of students. A supportive and respectful campus climate, interacting with diverse faculty and staff, and being culturally competent are critical to student success.

#### **Strategies of Campus To Be Named**

## These are the strategies proposed and approved for Campus TBN:

## **Opportunity:**

Strategy #1

Strategy #2

Strategy #3

#### Success:

Strategy #4

Strategy #5

Strategy #6

#### Impact:

Strategy #7

Strategy #8

Strategy #9

### **Reporting Contact**

* 1. Who is the contact person for this report
--

If we have questions a	about the content of this report, who should we contact for clarification?
First Name	
Last Name	

* 2. How did you implen	ent this strategy with fidelity?(500 words or less)
Fidelity is defined as faithfuln	ess to the implementation of the committed strategies demonstrated by continued loyalty and support.
Evidence of fidelity may inclu	le, but would not be limited to the following: dedicated staff, specific examples of student or staff
participation, data collected o	n strategy inputs and outputs, participation rates of students, dedicated funding, development of
implementation timetables ar	d milestones achieved, and narrative descriptions of the implementation process.
* 3 How would you des	ribe the effectiveness of this strategy?
•	n analysis of the effectiveness of each strategy with a sufficient level of detail. If a strategy was not fully
•	at all, a thorough analysis and justifiable explanation of why this did not occur should be provided.
,	
* 4. What lessons were	earned regarding this strategy and what are your next steps?
Each institution shall identify	essons learned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results fro
the strategy analysis into the	next year to address deficiencies and either improve or continue.

Strategy #2 Details	
5. How did you implement this strategy with fidelity? (50)	0 words or less)
Fidelity is defined as faithfulness to the implementation of the committed strategies demonstrated by continued loyalty and supposite include, but would not be limited to the following: dedicated staff, specific examples of student or staff participation, data collected outputs, participation rates of students, dedicated funding, development of implementation timetables and milestones achieved, at the implementation process.	d on strategy inputs and
6. How would you describe the effectiveness of this strategy?	
The institution shall provide an analysis of the effectiveness of each strategy with a sufficient level of detail. If	a strategy was not fully
implemented or implemented at all, a thorough analysis and justifiable explanation of why this did not occur s	
7. What lessons were learned regarding this strategy and what are your next steps	
Each institution shall identify lessons learned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it w the strategy analysis into the next year to address deficiencies and either improve or continue.	vill incorporate results froi

* 8. How did you in	mplement this strategy with fidelity? (500 words or less)
Fidelity is defined as faithfulness to t	he implementation of the committed strategies demonstrated by continued loyalty and support. Evidence of fidelity may
include, but would not be limited to the	ne following: dedicated staff, specific examples of student or staff participation, data collected on strategy inputs and
outputs, participation rates of student	ts, dedicated funding, development of implementation timetables and milestones achieved, and narrative descriptions of
the implementation process.	
-	ribe the effectiveness of this strategy?
•	analysis of the effectiveness of each strategy with a sufficient level of detail. If a strategy was not fully
implemented or implemented a	t all, a thorough analysis and justifiable explanation of why this did not occur should be provided.
* 10. What lessons were I	earned regarding this strategy and what are your next steps?
	earned regarding this strategy and what are your next steps? ssons learned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results fro
Each institution shall identify le	
Each institution shall identify le	ssons learned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results fro
Each institution shall identify le	ssons learned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results fro
Each institution shall identify leather strategy analysis into the new	ssons learned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results from the annual review process and either improve or continue.
Each institution shall identify leather strategy analysis into the new	ssons learned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results fro
Each institution shall identify let the strategy analysis into the new the strategy analysis into the new that the strategy analysis in the strategy and the strategy analysis in the strategy and the strategy analysis in the strategy and the strategy a	ssons learned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results from the annual review process and either improve or continue.
the strategy analysis into the new table.  11. This is the enwant the CEO, C	ssons learned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results from the ext year to address deficiencies and either improve or continue.  Indeed of the Opportunity focus area. What else do you compare the council to know about your
the strategy analysis into the new the strategy analysis into the new that the strategy and the str	ssons learned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results from the ext year to address deficiencies and either improve or continue.  In a co
the strategy analysis into the new the strategy analysis into the new that the strategy and the strategy analysis into the new that the strategy and the strategy analysis into the new that the strategy and th	and of the Opportunity focus area. What else do you CPE staff, and the Council to know about your of strategies supporting this area?
the strategy analysis into the new table.  11. This is the enwant the CEO, Complementation	and of the Opportunity focus area. What else do you CPE staff, and the Council to know about your of strategies supporting this area?

trategy #4 Details	
12. How did you implement this strategy with fidelit	y? (500 words or less)
Fidelity is defined as faithfulness to the implementation of the committed strategies demonstrated by continued loyalty	and support. Evidence of fidelity may
include, but would not be limited to the following: dedicated staff, specific examples of student or staff participation, defined to the following:	ata collected on strategy inputs and
$outputs, \ participation \ rates \ of \ students, \ dedicated \ funding, \ development \ of \ implementation \ time tables \ and \ milestones$	achieved, and narrative descriptions of
the implementation process.	
40 Harrison III and the official and the office of the off	
13. How would you describe the effectiveness of this strategy?	f datail If a atreatama mat full.
The institution shall provide an analysis of the effectiveness of each strategy with a sufficient level o implemented or implemented at all, a thorough analysis and justifiable explanation of why this did no	
Implemented of implemented at all, a unorough analysis and justifiable explanation of why this did no	ot occur snoula be providea.
14. What lessons were learned regarding this strategy and what are your ne	ext steps?
Each institution shall identify lessons learned from the annual review process and describes in detail	il how it will incorporate results fro
the strategy analysis into the next year to address deficiencies and either improve or continue.	

.5. I IOVV did y	ou implement this strategy with fidelity? (500 words or less)
	ess to the implementation of the committed strategies demonstrated by continued loyalty and support. Evidence of fidelity may
nclude, but would not be limite	ted to the following: dedicated staff, specific examples of student or staff participation, data collected on strategy inputs and
utputs, participation rates of s	students, dedicated funding, development of implementation timetables and milestones achieved, and narrative descriptions of
ne implementation process.	
6. How would you	describe the effectiveness of this strategy?
The institution shall provid	de an analysis of the effectiveness of each strategy with a sufficient level of detail. If a strategy was not full
mplemented or implemer	nted at all, a thorough analysis and justifiable explanation of why this did not occur should be provided.
.7. What lessons w	vere learned regarding this strategy and what are your next steps?
	ntify lessons learned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results fr
he strategy analysis into	the next year to address deficiencies and either improve or continue.

ions of
ot fully I.
ults fron
vant
nts,
d

## **Strategy #7 Details**

Fidelity is defined as faithfulness to the impleme	entation of the committed strategies demonstrated by continued loyalty and support. Evidence of fidelity may	
include, but would not be limited to the following: dedicated staff, specific examples of student or staff participation, data collected on strategy inputs and		
outputs, participation rates of students, dedicate	ed funding, development of implementation timetables and milestones achieved, and narrative descriptions of	
the implementation process.		
23. How would you describe th	e effectiveness of this strategy?	
The institution shall provide an analysis	of the effectiveness of each strategy with a sufficient level of detail. If a strategy was not fully	
implemented or implemented at all, a tho	prough analysis and justifiable explanation of why this did not occur should be provided.	
24. What lessons were learned	regarding this strategy and what are your next steps?	
Each institution shall identify lessons lea	rned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results fro	
the strategy analysis into the next year to	o address deficiencies and either improve or continue.	

## **Strategy #8 Details**

elity may
s and
iptions of
not fully
ed.
esults fror
'

28. How ald you imple	ement this strategy with fidelity? (500 words or less)
	entation of the committed strategies demonstrated by continued loyalty and support. Evidence of fidelity may g: dedicated staff, specific examples of student or staff participation, data collected on strategy inputs and
outputs, participation rates of students, dedicate	ed funding, development of implementation timetables and milestones achieved, and narrative descriptions of
the implementation process.	
* 20. How would you dooribe th	as affectiveness of this strategy?
<u>-</u>	ne effectiveness of this strategy?  of the effectiveness of each strategy with a sufficient level of detail. If a strategy was not fully
	orough analysis and justifiable explanation of why this did not occur should be provided.
* 30. What lessons were learned	l regarding this strategy and what are your next steps?
	I regarding this strategy and what are your next steps?  Arned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results from
Each institution shall identify lessons lea	
Each institution shall identify lessons lea	arned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results fro
Each institution shall identify lessons lea	arned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results fro
Each institution shall identify lessons lea the strategy analysis into the next year t	arned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results fro
Each institution shall identify lessons lead the strategy analysis into the next year to the strategy analysis the end of	arned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results from address deficiencies and either improve or continue.  the Impact focus area. What else do you want
the strategy analysis into the next year to the strategy and the strategy analysis into the next year to the strategy and the strategy analysis into the next year to the strategy and the strategy a	the Impact focus area. What else do you want and the Council to know about your
the strategy analysis into the next year to the strategy and the strategy analysis into the next year to the strategy and the strategy analysis into the next year to the strategy and the strateg	arned from the annual review process and describes in detail how it will incorporate results from address deficiencies and either improve or continue.  the Impact focus area. What else do you want

#### **Review for Submission**

- \* 32. At the end of this reporting process you will emailed a copy of the information you have submitted. Please take a moment to thoroughly review your submission.
  - If you wish to receive feedback from Council on Postsecondary Education staff, designate this submission as **DRAFT** (below). **Must be submitted by 12/14/2018.**
  - If your report is final, designate this submission as FINAL (below) and your report will be forwarded to the Committee for Equal Opportunities. **Must be submitted by 3/1/2019**, **before 11:59pm**.

If you have questions before you submit, please call Dr. Caroline Atkins at 502-892-3006.

DRAFT	
FINAL	
33. OPTIONAL: PI	ease attach any additional documentation to support this annual report.
Select the file(s) y	ou wish to upload.
Choose File	No file chosen

## Kentucky Public Postsecondary Education Policy for Diversity, Equity & Inclusion Timeline 2018-2019

•	Campus plans approved by Council	February 2, 2018
•	Data collection period	August 2017 – June 2018*
•	CEO meeting at Murray State University	April 30, 2018
•	Preliminary annual quantitative report ( <b>not scored</b> )	July 24, 2018
•	CEO meeting at Western Kentucky University	July 22-23, 2018
•	CEO meeting at Bluegrass Community & Technical College-	October 22, 2018
	Leestown Campus	
•	Optional draft annual report to CPE	December 14, 2018**
	To be submitted using online reporting tool.	
•	CPE feedback to campuses on optional draft report	January 10, 2019
•	CEO meeting at KCTCS (Site TBA)	January 28, 2019
•	First year final campus reports due to CPE and CEO	March 1, 2019, 11:59 pm
	To be submitted using online reporting tool.	
•	Campus reports distributed to review teams	March 15, 2019
•	Review teams meet and develop composite scores	April 29, 2019
•	Review team results presented to Council	June 2019
•	CEO meeting (Site TBA)	June 24, 2019
•	New academic program ineligibility begins (if applicable)	Fall 2019
•	CEO meeting at Northern KY University (to be confirmed)	October 28, 2019

#### **2018 Council Meetings**

- April 26-27, University of Louisville
- June 21-22, Midway University
- September 20-21, Council Offices
- November 15-16, Southcentral KY CTC

<sup>\*</sup>Although the data collection period is for a full AY, the CPE recognizes that not all strategies will have been fully implemented in the fall semester.

<sup>\*\*</sup>This draft report is optional and only to be submitted should the campus desire feedback in advance of the final campus report submission in March 2019.

#### **Review Teams (Tentative)**

#### Team A: Sebastian Torres, Robert Croft, Dawn Offutt

- West KY Community & Technical College
- Hopkinsville Community College
- Henderson Community College
- Northern KY University

#### Team B: Shawn Reynolds, Ann Morgan, Melissa Bell

- Western KY University
- University of Kentucky
- Gateway Community & Technical College
- Jefferson Community & Technical College

#### Team C: Miko Pattie, Keitha Henderson, Tara Rose

- Murray State University
- Owensboro Community & Technical College
- Elizabethtown Community & Technical College
- University of Louisville

#### Team D: Corey Bellamy, David Carpenter, Melissa Young

- Madisonville Community College
- Maysville Community & Technical College
- Bluegrass Community & Technical College
- Morehead State University

#### Team E: John Johnson, Vidya Ravichandran, Silas Session, David Mahan

- Ashland Community & Technical College
- Hazard Community & Technical College
- Big Sandy Community & Technical College
- Kentucky State University

#### Team F: Brian Dunican, Robert Staat, Bruce Brooks

- Somerset Community College
- Southcentral Community & Technical College
- Southeast KY Community & Technical College
- Eastern KY University